Quadratic programming in synthesis of stationary Gaussian fields

> Stefanos Kechagias University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Graduate Seminar, September 24, 2014 (joint work with V. Pipiras and H. Helgason)

Stefanos Kechagias (UNC)

- Synthesis of univariate processes using standard circulant embedding
- 2 Embedding methods for synthesis of 2D fields
- Optimal circulant embedding

- ∢ ∃ ▶

Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of N complex numbers x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1}

$$X_k := \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-i2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$
 (1)

- C.F.Gauss 1805, Joseph Fourier 1822, Cooley and Tukey 1965
- Direct calculation complexity is $O(N^2)$
- Complexity of FFT is $O(N \log N)$

"The most important numerical algorithm of our lifetime"

Gilbert Strang

Some Linear Algebra



- Toeplitz: All diagonals remain constant
- Circulant: Each row is the preceding row shifted one entry to the right
- DFT basis diagonalizes circulant matrices. FFT can be used for efficient computation of the eigenvalues

Consider a zero mean stationary Gaussian time series $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with autocovariance function

$$r(n)=EX_0X_n.$$

<u>Question</u>: How does one generate $X := (X_0, \ldots, X_{N-1})'$, given the autocovariance function of X?

Denote the covariance matrix of X by

$$\Sigma = EXX' = \begin{pmatrix} r(0) & r(1) & r(2) & \dots & r(N-1) \\ r(1) & r(0) & r(1) & \dots & r(N-2) \\ r(2) & r(1) & r(0) & \dots & r(N-3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(N-1) & r(N-2) & r(N-3) & \dots & r(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is non-negative definite, using Cholesky decomposition we can factorize

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^{1/2} \Sigma^{1/2}$$

and set

$$X = \Sigma^{1/2} Z$$

where Z is a $N(0, I_N)$ vector.

<u>Problem</u>: The complexity of this method is $O(N^3)$, and the approach is practical only for moderate sample sizes N. What about larger N?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Univariate Standard Circulant Matrix Embedding (SCE)

A Circulant Matrix Embedding of Σ is a circulant matrix

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} r(0) & r(1) & \dots & r(N-1) & r(N-2) & \dots & r(1) \\ r(1) & r(0) & \dots & r(N-2) & r(N-1) & \dots & r(2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(N-1) & r(N-2) & \dots & r(0) & r(1) & \dots & r(N-2) \\ r(N-2) & r(N-1) & \dots & r(1) & r(0) & \dots & r(N-3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(1) & r(2) & \dots & r(N-2) & r(N-3) & \dots & r(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

of dimension $M \times M$ with embedding size M = 2N - 2. Note that $\overline{\Sigma}$ contains the covariance matrix Σ . Let also

$$\widetilde{r}(n) = (r(0), r(1), \dots, r(N-1), r(N-2), \dots, r(1)).$$

Univariate SCE

The discrete Fourier basis diagonalizes circulant matrices:

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = F^* \Lambda F.$$

- jth column of
$$F^*$$
 is $(1, e^{-irac{2\pi j}{M}}, \dots, e^{-irac{2\pi j(M-1)}{M}})/\sqrt{M}$

- $\Lambda = {\sf diag}(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_{M-1})$, where the real eigenvalues of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ are

$$\lambda_m = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \widetilde{r}(j) e^{-i\frac{2\pi jm}{M}}$$

and can be computed rapidly using FFT (complexity $O(M \log M)$).

Condition ND: The eigenvalues λ_m , m = 0, ..., M - 1, are non-negative. If ND holds then both

$$\widetilde{X} = \mathcal{R}(F^* \Lambda^{1/2} Z), \text{ and } \widetilde{X} = \mathcal{I}(F^* \Lambda^{1/2} Z)$$

have covariance matrix $\widetilde{\Sigma}$.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The circulant matrix embedding for the covariance structure below has 298 negative eigenvalues.



ND holds if $\{r(n)\}_{0 \le n \le N-1}$ satisfies

- convex, decreasing, nonnegative or

-
$$r(k) \le 0, \ k = 1, \dots, N-1$$

or

- N is large enough

If $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is not smooth at the boundary of periodization, ND is likely to fail

Standard SCE for 2D fields

Consider a zero mean stationary Gaussian field $\{X_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ with autocovariance function

$$r(n)=r(n_1,n_2)=EX_0X_n, \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^2.$$

<u>Goal</u>: Generate the field X on the square grid

$$G(N) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \le n_1, n_2 \le N - 1\}.$$

given r(n) in $\widetilde{G}(N) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -N + 1 \le n_1, n_2 \le N - 1\}$

Question: What is the covariance embedding $\tilde{r}(n)$?

Example: Powered exponential covariance function

$$r(n) = e^{-(0.01||n||_W)^{0.5}}, \quad ||t||_W := \sqrt{t'Wt}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with N = 200 and M = 2N - 1 = 399.

Standard SCE for 2D fields



<u>Extension scheme:</u> $\widetilde{r}(n) = r(n), n \in \widetilde{G}(N),$ and *M*-periodic

11 / 25

Standard SCE for 2D fields

The eigenvalues of the covariance embedding are given by the 2D DFT.

$$\lambda_k(\widetilde{r}(n)) = \sum_{n \in G(M)} \widetilde{r}(n) e^{-i2\pi k \cdot (n/M)}, \quad k \in G(M)$$

• If ND holds we can construct X as in the univariate case. Condition ND seems to fail quite often in 2D. Embedding matrix not smooth at the boundary of periodization ?

Solutions:

- ▶ Increase *N* to some \widetilde{N} and take $M = 2\widetilde{N} 1$. It is convenient to think of $\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{N}) \setminus \widetilde{G}(N)$ as the transition region. Increasing *N* to \widetilde{N} in SCE can be thought as extending r(n) over the transition region.
- Smoothing Windows Circulant Embedding (SWCE).
 - Apply a smoothing kernel over the transition region
 - Works well for several covariance structures.
 - Outperforms existing variants of SCE.



Left: SCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ for N = 200, M = 399 with 56000 negative eigenvalues. Right: SWCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ for $\tilde{N} = 240$, M = 479 with 50 negative eigenvalues.

Optimal Circulant Embedding

The covariance embedding $\tilde{r}(n)$ needs to satisfy the following

- $\widetilde{r}(n) = r(n)$ for *n* inside the dotted areas, $\widetilde{r}(n) = \widetilde{r}(-n)$
- Nonnegative eigenvalues

<u>Idea</u>: Obtain a circulant matrix embedding as the solution of a quadratic optimization problem with linear inequality constraints

$$\begin{split} \min_{\widetilde{r}(n)} & f(\widetilde{r}) = \sum_{n \in G(M)} w(n) \left(r(n) - \widetilde{r}(n) \right)^2, \\ \text{subject to} & g_k(\widetilde{r}) \geq 0, \quad k \in G(M) \end{split}$$

<u>Remark</u>: We only need to focus on the left sub-grid L of G(M), both for the objective unction and the constraints

$$\min_{\widetilde{r}(n)} \quad f(\widetilde{r}) = \sum_{n \in L} w(n) (r(n) - \widetilde{r}(n))^2,$$

subject to $g_k(\widetilde{r}) \ge 0, \quad k \in L.$ (C)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Primal log-barrier method

- $\widetilde{r}(n)$ feasible point: $g_k(\widetilde{r}(n)) \ge 0, \ k \in L$
- optimal value of (C): $z^* = \inf\{f(\tilde{r}) \mid g_k(\tilde{r}) \ge 0, k \in L\}$
- \tilde{r}^* optimal point: \tilde{r}^* is feasible and $f(\tilde{r}^*) = z^*$
- $\widetilde{r}(n) \epsilon$ -suboptimal point: \widetilde{r} is feasible and $f(\widetilde{r}) z^* \leq \epsilon$
- Part 1: Eliminate the constraints

$$\min_{\widetilde{r}} \quad f_t(\widetilde{r}) := f(\widetilde{r}) - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k \in L} \log(g_k(\widetilde{r})) \tag{U}$$

<u>Fact</u>: The solutions of the unconstrained problems (U), $\{\tilde{r}_t^*, t > 0\}$ (*central path points*) approach a solution of the constrained problem (C) as t grows. In fact they are at most m/t- suboptimal, i.e.

$$f(\widetilde{r}_t^*)-z^*\leq m/t,$$

where *m* is the number of inequality constraints.

Part 2: Quadratic approximation

For a given $x = x_0$ and a fixed t consider the second-order Taylor approximation $\widehat{f_t}$

$$\widehat{f_t}(x+v) = f_t(x) + \nabla f_t(x)v + \frac{1}{2}v^T \nabla^2 f_t(x)v$$

Calculate the direction v that minimizes \hat{f}_t (*Newton step*)

$$\min_{v} \quad \nabla f_t(x)v + \frac{1}{2}v^T \nabla^2 f_t(x)v \tag{N}$$

<u>Fact 1:</u> Multiple *Newton steps* yield a sequence of points $x_k = x_{k-1} + v$ that converges to the minimizer of f_t

Fact 2: We only need to take 1 Newton step!

Primal log-barrier method

Part 3: Conjugate gradient algorithm. First order conditions of (N)

$$Hv = b$$
, $H = \nabla^2 f_t(x)$, $b = -\nabla f_t$

Given v_0 ; Set $\epsilon_0 = Hv_0 - b$, $p_0 = \epsilon_0$, k = 0; while $\epsilon_k \neq 0$

$$\alpha_{k} \leftarrow \frac{\epsilon_{k}^{T} \epsilon_{k}}{p_{k}^{T} H p_{k}};$$

$$v_{k+1} \leftarrow v_{k} + \alpha_{k} p_{k};$$

$$\epsilon_{k+1} \leftarrow \epsilon_{k} + \alpha_{k} H p_{k};$$

$$s_{k+1} \leftarrow \frac{\epsilon_{k+1}^{T} \epsilon_{k+1}}{\epsilon_{k}^{T} \epsilon_{k}};$$

$$p_{k+1} \leftarrow -\epsilon_{k+1} + s_{k+1} p_{k};$$

$$k \leftarrow k+1;$$

end (while)

Stefanos Kechagias (UNC)

17 / 25

3

- Direct computation Hp_k is of order $O(N^4)$
- Using 2D FFT the order drops to $O(N^2 \log N)$
- ϵ_k doesn't need to be taken very small

Steps of the PLB method

- **(**) Find an initial strictly feasible point \tilde{r} . Pick $\mu > 1$, t > 0, $\epsilon > 0$.
- **2** Compute $\tilde{r}_{t,a}^*$ by solving (N) with initial point \tilde{r} .
- **③** Update $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_{t,a}^*$. If $m/t < \epsilon$, stop and return \tilde{r} .
- Increase t to μt and start again from Step 2.



Left: SWCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ for N = 200, $\tilde{N} = 240$, M = 479 with 50 negative eigenvalues. Right: OCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ with no negative eigenvalues and objective function value 10^{-7} .

The magnitude of the eigenvalues of \boldsymbol{W} affect the smoothness of the embedding



18000 negative eigenvalues

Powered exponential covariance with near singular W

$$r(n) = e^{-(0.01||n||_W)^{0.5}}$$

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 & -1.5 \\ -1.5 & 1.4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\lambda_W = (0.01, 3)$$



Left: SWCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ for N = 100, M = 359 with 116 negative eigenvalues. Right: OCE $\tilde{r}(n)$ with no negative eigenvalues and objective function value 10^{-6} .

- OCE and SWCE for intrinsic Gaussian random fields
- Preconditioning in conjugate gradient algorithm
- Alternative measures KL divergence ?
- Primal-dual path following algorithm

- Fast and exact simulation of stationary Gaussian processes through circulant embedding of the covariance matrix (Dietrich and Newsam), *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 1997.
- Simulation of stationary Gaussian vector fields. (Chan and Wood), *Statistics and Computing*, 1999.
- Smoothing windows for the synthesis of Gaussian stationary random fields using circulant matrix embedding. (Helgason, Pipiras and Abry), J. of Comput. and Graph. Stats., 2014.
- Convex optimization and feasible circulant matrix embeddings in synthesis of stationary Gaussian fields. (Helgason, Kechagias, Pipiras), *Preprint.*

A B M A B M

Thank you!

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Question 1: Does the OCE method work for any covariance structure?

- As with other embedding methods, OCE's performance depends on the strength of discontinuities of the covariance embedding
- Large μ is more likely to lead to an exact embedding, which however might have some negative eigenvalues.
- Small μ ensures the eigenvalues will be nonnegative, however leading to approximate embeddings.

Question 2: How does the OCE method compares with Cholesky and SWCE in terms of speed?

- For N > 100 Cholesky breaks down (complexity $O(N^5)$)
- SWCE is faster at first glance. However the minimum transition region length needed is not known in advance.

- 本間 と 本語 と 本語 と